



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/666,796	09/21/2000	Teruyuki Motohashi	Q60910	6835

7590 06/07/2004

Sughrue Mion Zinn Macpeak & Seas PLLC
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Washington, DC 20037-3213

EXAMINER

CHANG, ERIC

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2116

DATE MAILED: 06/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/666,796

Applicant(s)

MOTOHASHI, TERUYUKI

Examiner

Eric Chang

Art Unit

2116

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 March 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-18 are pending.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-18 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

4. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6,583,579 to Tsumura, in view of U.S. Patent 5,638,421 to Serrano et al.

5. As to claims 1, 3, 5, 7, 9 and 11, Tsumura discloses a device comprising:

[a] a display unit [12];

[b] a light-emitting unit [13] comprising a plurality of light-emitting units [col. 4, lines 41-46] which illuminates said display unit [col. 3, lines 1-3]; and

[c] a controller [14] which limits a current to be supplied to said light-emitting units when a specific functional part, such as a radio communication unit, in said data processing unit is in operation [col. 3, lines 1-16].

Art Unit: 2116

Tsumura teaches all of the limitations of the claim, including that the backlight is disabled when a radio-processing unit of the device is operated, but does not specifically teach that a detecting unit detects operation of the radio-processing unit.

Serrano teaches that a detector which detects whether a specific functional part, such as a radio communication unit, in said data processing device is in operation or not [col. 2, lines 39-55]. Serrano teaches that the device's transmitter operational status is determined in order to alert other portions of the device that the radio communication unit is in operation.

At the time that the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to employ the unit operational detector as taught by Serrano. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so that the device can determine if the radio communication unit of the device is in operation. In addition, Serrano teaches that such detection of the operation of the radio communication unit would allow the user to be alerted to incoming calls [col. 2, lines 10-13].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of the cited references because they are both directed to the problem of adjusting operation of a device based on the operational status of a specific part of the device, such as the radio communication unit. Moreover, the unit operational detector means taught by Serrano would improve the efficiency of Tsumura because it allowed the device backlight to be disabled whenever the radio communication unit is in operation. Furthermore, Tsumura teaches that by disabling the device backlight, power consumption by the device is reduced and electronic interference with the radio communication unit is prevented [col. 2, lines 46-53].

Art Unit: 2116

6. As to claims 2, 4, 6, 8, 10 and 12, Tsumura discloses the light-emitting unit may not only be applied to the illumination of the display unit, but also other operational sections of the device [col. 10, lines 62-67]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that other operational sections of the device would comprise an interface through which data is input into said device, wherein said light-emitting unit illuminates said interface, substantially as claimed.

7. As to claims 13-18, Tsumura and Serrano teach a device comprising a light-emitting unit for illuminating a display unit of a device, and controlling the power to the light-emitting unit when a specific functional part in the device is detected to be in operation, substantially as claimed. Because Tsumura and Serrano teach the device, Tsumura and Serrano teach the method implemented by said device.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric Chang whose telephone number is (703) 305-4612. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynne Browne can be reached on (703) 308-1159. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2116

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ec

May 28, 2004


LYNNE H. BROWNE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3690 2100